

A. INTRODUCTION

This section examines the potential for air quality impacts due to the proposed operational and geometric changes in traffic conditions along Fulton Street at its intersections with Gold Street, the Pearl Street spur.

B. POLLUTANTS FOR ANALYSIS

Ambient air quality is affected by air pollutants produced by both motor vehicles and stationary sources. Emissions from motor vehicles are referred to as mobile source emissions, while emissions from fixed facilities are referred to as stationary source emissions. Typically, ambient concentrations of carbon monoxide (CO) are predominantly influenced by mobile source emissions. Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and nitrogen oxides (NO and NO₂, collectively referred to as NO_x) are emitted from both mobile and stationary sources. Emissions of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) are associated mainly with stationary sources, and sources utilizing non-road diesel such as diesel trains, marine engines and non-road vehicles such as construction engines, but diesel-powered vehicles, primarily heavy duty trucks and buses, also currently contribute somewhat to these emissions; diesel fuel regulations, which recently began to take effect, will reduce SO₂ emissions from mobile sources to extremely low levels. Particulate matter (PM) is emitted from both stationary and mobile sources. Fine particulate matter is also formed when emissions of NO_x, sulfur oxides (SO_x), ammonia, organic compounds, and other gases react or condense in the atmosphere. Ozone is formed in the atmosphere by complex photochemical processes that include NO_x and VOCs, emitted mainly from industrial processes and mobile sources.

CARBON MONOXIDE

CO, a colorless and odorless gas, is produced in the urban environment, primarily by the incomplete combustion of gasoline and other fossil fuels. In urban areas, approximately 80 to 90 percent of CO emissions are from motor vehicles. Since CO is a reactive gas that does not persist in the atmosphere, CO concentrations can vary greatly over relatively short distances; elevated concentrations are usually limited to locations near crowded intersections, heavily traveled and congested roadways, parking lots, and garages. Consequently, CO concentrations must be predicted on a local, or microscale, basis.

The Proposed Project would result in changes in traffic patterns in the study area and could potentially result in local increases in CO concentrations. Therefore, a mobile source analysis was conducted at a critical intersection in the study area to evaluate future CO concentrations with and without the Proposed Project.

NITROGEN OXIDES, VOCS, AND OZONE

NO_x are of principal concern because of their role, together with VOCs, as precursors in the formation of ozone. Ozone is formed through a series of reactions that take place in the

Fulton Corridor Revitalization Program

atmosphere in the presence of sunlight. Because the reactions are slow, and occur as the pollutants are advected downwind, elevated ozone levels are often found many miles from sources of the precursor pollutants. The effects of NO_x and VOC emissions from all sources are therefore generally examined on a regional basis. The contribution of any action or project to regional emissions of these pollutants would include any added stationary or mobile source emissions; the change in regional mobile source emissions of these pollutants would be related to the addition or subtraction of the total vehicle miles traveled on various roadway types throughout the New York metropolitan area, which is designated as a moderate non-attainment area for ozone by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

The Proposed Project would not have a significant effect on the overall volume of vehicular travel in the metropolitan area; therefore, no measurable impact on regional NO_x emissions or on ozone levels is predicted. An analysis of project-related emissions of these pollutants from mobile sources was therefore not warranted.

LEAD

Airborne lead emissions are principally associated with industrial sources and motor vehicles that use gasoline containing lead additives. Most U.S. vehicles produced since 1975, and all produced after 1980, are designed to use unleaded fuel. As these newer vehicles have replaced the older ones, motor vehicle related lead emissions have decreased. As a result, ambient concentrations of lead have declined significantly. Nationally, the average measured atmospheric lead level in 1985 was only about one-quarter the level in 1975.

In 1985, EPA announced new rules drastically reducing the amount of lead permitted in leaded gasoline. The maximum allowable lead level in leaded gasoline was reduced from the previous limit of 1.1 to 0.5 grams per gallon effective July 1, 1985, and to 0.1 grams per gallon effective January 1, 1986. Monitoring results indicate that this action has been effective in significantly reducing atmospheric lead concentrations. Effective January 1, 1996, the Clean Air Act (CAA) banned the sale of the small amount of leaded fuel that was still available in some parts of the country for use in on-road vehicles, concluding the 25-year effort to phase out lead in gasoline. Even at locations in the New York City area where traffic volumes are very high, atmospheric lead concentrations are far below the national standard of 1.5 micrograms per cubic meter (3-month average).

No significant sources of lead are associated with the Proposed Project, and, therefore, an analysis was not warranted.

RESPIRABLE PARTICULATE MATTER—PM₁₀ AND PM_{2.5}

PM is a broad class of air pollutants that includes discrete particles of a wide range of sizes and chemical compositions, as either liquid droplets (aerosols) or solids suspended in the atmosphere. The constituents of PM are both numerous and varied, and they are emitted from a wide variety of sources (both natural and anthropogenic). Natural sources include the condensed and reacted forms of naturally occurring volatile organic compounds, salt particles resulting from the evaporation of sea spray; wind-borne pollen, fungi, molds, algae, yeasts, rusts, bacteria, and material from live and decaying plant and animal life; particles eroded from beaches, soil, and rock; and particles emitted from volcanic and geothermal eruptions and from forest fires; naturally occurring PM is generally greater than 2.5 micrometers in diameter. Major anthropogenic sources include the combustion of fossil fuels (e.g., vehicular exhaust, power generation, boilers, engines and home heating), chemical and manufacturing processes, all types of construction, agricultural activities, as well as wood-burning stoves and fireplaces. PM also

acts as a substrate for the adsorption of other pollutants, often toxic and some likely carcinogenic compounds.

As described below, PM is regulated in two size categories: particles with an aerodynamic diameter of less than or equal to 2.5 micrometers, or PM_{2.5}, and particles with an aerodynamic diameter of less than or equal to 10 micrometers, or PM₁₀, which includes PM_{2.5}. PM_{2.5} has the ability to reach the lower regions of the respiratory tract, delivering with it other compounds that adsorbed to the surfaces of the particles, and is also extremely persistent in the atmosphere. PM_{2.5} is mainly derived from combustion material that has volatilized and then condensed to form primary particulate matter (often soon after the release from an exhaust pipe or stack) or from precursor gases reacting in the atmosphere to form secondary PM.

Diesel-powered vehicles, especially heavy duty trucks and buses, are a significant source of respirable PM, most of which is PM_{2.5}; PM concentrations may, consequently, be locally elevated near roadways with high volumes of heavy diesel-powered vehicles. The Proposed Project would not result in any significant increases in heavy-duty diesel traffic near the project site or in the region, and the total number of vehicles would not exceed the city's current screening threshold for conducting a microscale PM analysis. Therefore, an analysis of potential impacts from respirable particulate matter is not warranted.

SULFUR DIOXIDE

SO₂ emissions are primarily associated with the combustion of sulfur-containing fuels: oil and coal. Due to the federal restrictions on the sulfur content in diesel fuel for on-road vehicles, no significant quantities are emitted from vehicular sources. Monitored SO₂ concentrations in New York City are below the national standards. Vehicular sources of SO₂ are not significant and therefore, an analysis of this pollutant from mobile sources is not warranted.

C. AIR QUALITY STANDARDS

NATIONAL AND STATE AIR QUALITY STANDARDS

As required by the CAA, primary and secondary National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) have been established for six major air pollutants: CO, NO₂, ozone, respirable PM (both PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀), SO₂, and lead. The primary standards protect public health and represent levels at which there are no known significant effects on human health. The secondary standards are intended to protect the nation's welfare, and account for air pollutant effects on soil, water, visibility, materials, vegetation, and other aspects of the environment. The primary and secondary standards are the same for NO₂, ozone, lead, and PM, and there is no secondary standard for CO. EPA promulgated additional NAAQS, which became effective September 16, 1997: a new 8-hour standard for ozone, which replaced the previous 1-hour standard, and in addition to retaining the PM₁₀ standards, EPA adopted 24-hour and annual standards for PM_{2.5}. The standards for these pollutants are presented in Table 2F-1. These standards have also been adopted as the ambient air quality standards for New York State.

NAAQS ATTAINMENT STATUS AND STATE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (SIP)

The CAA, as amended in 1990 defines non-attainment areas (NAA) as geographic regions that have been designated as not meeting one or more of the NAAQS. When an area is designated as non-attainment by EPA, the state is required to develop and implement a State Implementation Plan (SIP), which is a state's plan on how it will meet the NAAQS under the deadlines established by the CAA.

Fulton Corridor Revitalization Program

EPA has recently re-designated New York City as in attainment for CO. The CAA requires that a maintenance plan ensure continued compliance with the CO NAAQS for former non-attainment areas. New York City is also committed to implementing site-specific control measures throughout the city to reduce CO levels, should unanticipated localized growth result in elevated CO levels during the maintenance period.

Manhattan has been designated as a moderate NAA for PM₁₀. On December 17, 2004, EPA took final action designating the five boroughs of New York City as well as Nassau, Suffolk, Rockland, Westchester, and Orange counties as PM_{2.5} non-attainment areas under the CAA. State and local governments are required to develop implementation plans designed to meet the standards by early 2008.

Nassau, Rockland, Suffolk, Westchester and the five counties of New York City were designated as severe non-attainment for the previous ozone 1-hour standard. In November 1998, New York State submitted its *Phase II Alternative Attainment Demonstration for Ozone*, which was finalized and approved by EPA effective March 6, 2002, addressing attainment of the one-hour ozone NAAQS by 2007. New York State has recently submitted revisions to the SIP; these SIP revisions included additional emission reductions that EPA requested to demonstrate attainment of the standard, and an update of the SIP estimates using the latest versions of the mobile source emissions model, MOBILE6.2, and the non-road emissions model, NONROAD—which have been updated to reflect current knowledge of engine emissions, and the latest mobile and non-road engine emissions regulations. On April 15, 2004, EPA designated these same counties as moderate non-attainment for the new 8-hour ozone standard which became effective as of June 15, 2004 (all of Orange County was moved to the Poughkeepsie moderate non-attainment area for 8-hour ozone). EPA revoked the 1-hour standard in June, 2005; however, the specific control measures for the 1-hour standard included in the SIP will be required to stay in place until the 8-hour standard is attained. The discretionary emissions reductions in the SIP would also remain but could be revised or dropped based on modeling. The State is currently formulating a new SIP for ozone, which is expected to be adopted in the near future. The SIP will have a target attainment deadline of June 15, 2010.

DETERMINING THE SIGNIFICANCE OF AIR QUALITY IMPACTS

Any action predicted to increase the concentration of a criteria air pollutant to a level that would exceed the concentrations defined by the NAAQS (see Table H-1) would be deemed to have a potential significant adverse impact. In addition, in order to maintain concentrations lower than the NAAQS in attainment areas, or to ensure that concentrations will not be significantly increased in non-attainment areas, threshold levels have been defined for certain pollutants; any action predicted to increase the concentrations of these pollutants above the thresholds would be deemed to have a potential significant adverse impact, even in cases where violations of the NAAQS are not predicted.

Table 2F-1
Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Primary		Secondary			
	ppm	$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	ppm	$\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$		
Carbon Monoxide (CO)						
Maximum 8-Hour Concentration ¹	9	10,000	None			
Maximum 1-Hour Concentration ¹	35	40,000				
Lead						
Maximum Arithmetic Mean Averaged Over 3 Consecutive Months	NA	1.5	NA	1.5		
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂)						
Annual Arithmetic Average	0.053	100	0.053	100		
Ozone (O₃)						
8-Hour Average ²	0.08	157	0.08	157		
Total Suspended Particles (TSP)						
Annual Mean	NA	Rural Open Space Rural Residential Urban Residential Urban Industrial	45 55 65 75	None		
Maximum 24-Hour Concentration		250				
Respirable Particulate Matter (PM₁₀)						
24-Hour Concentration ¹		NA	150		NA	150
Fine Respirable Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5})						
Average of 3 Annual Arithmetic Means	NA	15	NA	15		
24-Hour Concentration ³	NA	65	NA	65		
Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂)						
Annual Arithmetic Mean	0.03	80	NA	NA		
Maximum 24-Hour Concentration ¹	0.14	365	NA	NA		
Maximum 3-Hour Concentration ¹	NA	NA	0.50	1,300		
<p>Notes: ppm - parts per million $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ - micrograms per cubic meter NA - not applicable</p> <p>Particulate matter concentrations are in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. Concentrations of all gaseous pollutants are defined in ppm -- approximately equivalent concentrations in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ are presented.</p> <p>TSP levels are regulated by a New York State Standard only. All other standards are National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).</p> <p>¹ Not to be exceeded more than once a year.</p> <p>² Three-year average of the annual fourth highest daily maximum 8-hr average concentration.</p> <p>³ Not to be exceeded by the 98th percentile averaged over 3 years.</p> <p>Sources: 40 CFR Part 50: National Primary and Secondary Ambient Air Quality Standards; 6 NYCRR Part 257: Air Quality Standards.</p>						

DE MINIMIS CRITERIA REGARDING CO IMPACTS

New York City has developed *de minimis* criteria to assess the significance of the incremental increase in CO concentrations that would result from proposed projects or actions, as set forth in the *City Environmental Quality Review (CEQR) Technical Manual*. These criteria set the minimum change in CO concentration that defines a significant environmental impact. Significant increases of CO concentrations in New York City are defined as: (1) an increase of 0.5 ppm or more in the maximum 8-hour average CO concentration at a location where the predicted No Action 8-hour concentration is equal to or between 8 and 9 ppm; or (2) an increase of more than half the difference between baseline (i.e., No Action) concentrations and the 8-hour standard, when No Action concentrations are below 8.0 ppm.

D. METHODOLOGY FOR PREDICTING POLLUTANT CONCENTRATIONS

MOBILE SOURCE ANALYSIS

INTRODUCTION

The prediction of vehicle-generated concentrations in an urban environment incorporates meteorological phenomena, traffic conditions, and physical configurations. Air pollutant dispersion models mathematically simulate how traffic, meteorology, and geometry combine to affect pollutant concentrations. The mathematical expressions and formulations contained in the various models attempt to describe an extremely complex physical phenomenon as closely as possible. However, because all models contain simplifications and approximations of actual conditions and interactions and it is necessary to predict the reasonable worst case condition, most of these dispersion models predict conservatively high concentrations of pollutants, particularly under adverse meteorological conditions.

The CO analysis for the Proposed Project employs a model approved by EPA that has been widely used for evaluating air quality impacts of projects in New York City, other parts of New York State, and throughout the country. The modeling approach includes a series of conservative assumptions relating to meteorology, traffic, and background concentration levels resulting in a conservatively high estimate of expected pollutant concentrations that could ensue from the Proposed Project.

DISPERSION MODEL FOR MICROSCALE ANALYSES

Maximum CO concentrations adjacent to streets in the traffic study area, resulting from vehicle emissions, were predicted using the CAL3QHC model Version 2.0.¹ The CAL3QHC model employs a Gaussian (normal distribution) dispersion assumption and includes an algorithm for estimating vehicular queue lengths at signalized intersections. CAL3QHC predicts emissions and dispersion of CO from idling and moving vehicles. The queuing algorithm includes site-specific traffic parameters, such as signal timing and delay calculations (from the 2000 *Highway Capacity Manual* traffic forecasting model), saturation flow rate, vehicle arrival type, and signal actuation (i.e., pre-timed or actuated signal) characteristics to accurately predict the number of idling vehicles. The CAL3QHC model has been updated with an extended module,

¹ *User's Guide to CAL3QHC, A Modeling Methodology for Predicted Pollutant Concentrations Near Roadway Intersections*, Office of Air Quality, Planning Standards, EPA, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, Publication EPA-454/R-92-006.

CAL3QHCR, which allows for the incorporation of hourly meteorological data into the modeling, instead of worst-case assumptions regarding meteorological parameters. This refined version of the model, CAL3QHCR, is employed if maximum predicted future CO concentrations are greater than the applicable ambient air quality standards or when *de minimis* thresholds are exceeded using the first-level CAL3QHC modeling.

METEOROLOGY

In general, the transport and concentration of pollutants from vehicular sources are influenced by three principal meteorological factors: wind direction, wind speed, and atmospheric stability. Wind direction influences the accumulation of pollutants at a particular prediction location (receptor), and atmospheric stability accounts for the effects of vertical mixing in the atmosphere.

Following the EPA guidelines,² CO computations were performed using a wind speed of 1 meter per second, a 1,000 meter mixing height and the neutral stability class D. Concentrations were calculated using a wind angle increment of 1 degree. The 8-hour average CO concentrations were estimated by multiplying the predicted 1-hour average CO concentrations by a factor of 0.79 to account for persistence of meteorological conditions and fluctuations in traffic volumes. A surface roughness of 3.21 meters was chosen and, in addition, a 50° Fahrenheit ambient temperature was assumed for the emissions computations. At each receptor location, the wind angle that maximized the pollutant concentrations was used in the analysis regardless of frequency of occurrence. These assumptions ensured that worst-case meteorology was used to estimate impacts.

ANALYSIS YEAR

The CO microscale analyses were performed for 2009, the year by which the Proposed Project is likely to be completed. The future analysis was performed both without the Proposed Project (the No Build condition) and with the Proposed Project (the Build with Improvements condition).

VEHICLE EMISSIONS DATA

Vehicular CO emission factors were computed using the most current EPA mobile source emissions model, MOBILE6.2.³ This emissions model is capable of calculating engine emission factors for various vehicle types, based on the fuel (gasoline, diesel, or natural gas), meteorological conditions, vehicle speeds, vehicle age, roadway types, number of starts per day, and engine soak time, and various other factors that influence emissions, such as inspection maintenance programs. The inputs and use of MOBILE6.2 incorporates the most current guidance available from the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) and the New York City Department of Environmental Protection (NYCDEP).

Vehicle classification data were based on field studies. The general categories of vehicle types for specific roadways were further divided into subcategories based on their relative fleet-wide

² *Guidelines for Modeling Carbon Monoxide from Roadway Intersections*, EPA Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Publication EPA-454/R-92-005.

³ EPA, User's Guide to MOBILE6.1 and MOBILE6.2: Mobile Source Emission Factor Model, EPA420-R-02-028, October 2002.

Fulton Corridor Revitalization Program

breakdown.⁴ An ambient temperature of 50° Fahrenheit was assumed for the emission computations.

Appropriate credits were used to accurately reflect the inspection and maintenance program. The inspection and maintenance programs require inspections of automobiles and light trucks to determine if pollutant emissions from the vehicles' exhaust systems are below emission standards. Vehicles failing the emissions test must undergo maintenance and pass a repeat test to be registered in New York State.

TRAFFIC DATA

Traffic data for the air quality analysis were derived from existing traffic counts, projected future growth in traffic, and other information developed as part of the traffic analysis for the Proposed Project (see Chapter 2, Section E, "Traffic and Parking"). Traffic data for the future without and with the Proposed Project were employed in the respective air quality modeling scenarios. The weekday AM (8:15 to 9:15 AM) and PM (5:00 to 6:00 PM) peak periods were subjected to microscale analysis. These time periods were selected for the mobile source analysis because they produce the maximum anticipated project-generated traffic and therefore have the greatest potential for significant air quality impacts.

BACKGROUND CONCENTRATIONS

Background concentrations are those pollutant concentrations not directly accounted for through the modeling analysis, which directly account for vehicle-generated emissions on the streets within 1,000 feet and line-of-sight of the receptor location. Background concentrations must be added to modeling results to obtain total pollutant concentrations at a study site.

The 8-hour average background concentration used in this analysis was 2.0 ppm for 2009 predictions. This value was obtained from the maximum second-highest concentration measured over a recent three-year period (2004 to 2006) at the PS 59 monitoring station.

MOBILE SOURCE ANALYSIS SITE

Intersections with project-diverted traffic greater than the *CEQR Technical Manual* threshold of 100 trips were reviewed. One intersection was selected for a CO microscale analysis, at Fulton Street and Pearl Street/Water Street since it is signalized, and due to the overall poor levels of service in the Build condition. Therefore, the greatest air quality impacts and maximum changes in the concentrations would be expected at this location.

RECEPTOR LOCATIONS

Multiple receptors (i.e. precise locations at which concentrations are predicted) were modeled at the selected site; receptors were placed along the approach and departure links at spaced intervals. The receptors were placed at sidewalk or roadside locations with continuous public access at the selected intersection.

⁴ The MOBILE6.2 emissions model utilizes 28 vehicle categories by size and fuel. Traffic counts and predictions are based on broader size categories, and then broken down according to the fleet-wide distribution of subcategories and fuel types (diesel, gasoline, or alternative).

E. FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT THE PROPOSED PROJECT

MOBILE SOURCE ANALYSIS

CO concentrations without the Proposed Project were determined for the 2009 analysis year using the modeling methodology previously described. Table 2F-2 shows future maximum predicted 8-hour average CO concentrations without the Proposed Project (i.e., 2009 No Build values) at the analysis intersection in the project study area. The value shown is the highest predicted concentration at the intersection for any of the time periods analyzed.

**Table 2F-2
Future (2009) Maximum Predicted 8-Hour Average
Carbon Monoxide No Build Concentration (ppm)**

Receptor Site	Location	Time Period	8-Hour Concentration
1	Fulton Street at Pearl Street/ Water Street	AM	3.5
		PM	3.4
Note: National Ambient Air Quality Standard—8-hour: 9 ppm.			

F. PROBABLE IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT

MOBILE SOURCE ANALYSIS

CO concentrations with the Proposed Project were determined for the 2009 analysis year using the methodology previously described. Table 2F-3 shows the maximum predicted future 8-hour average CO concentrations with the Proposed Project and project-related improvements at the intersection studied. (No 1-hour values are shown since no exceedances of the standard would occur and the *de minimis* criteria are only applicable to 8-hour concentrations. Therefore, the 8-hour values are the most critical for impact assessment.) The values shown are the highest predicted concentrations for the receptor location for the time periods analyzed. The results indicate that the Proposed Project would not result in any violations of the CO standard or any significant impacts at the receptor location.

**Table 2F-3
Future (2009) Maximum Predicted 8-Hour Average
Carbon Monoxide Concentrations (ppm): No Build and Build**

Receptor Site	Location	Time Period	8-Hour Concentration (ppm)	
			No Build	Build
1	Fulton Street at Pearl Street/ Water Street	AM	3.5	4.1
		PM	3.4	3.9
Note: National Ambient Air Quality Standard—8-hour: 9 ppm.				

CONSISTENCY WITH NEW YORK STATE AIR QUALITY IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

Maximum predicted pollutant concentrations with the Proposed Project would be less than the corresponding ambient air standards. Therefore, the Proposed Project would be consistent with the New York SIP for the control of ozone and CO.

Fulton Corridor Revitalization Program

Because the Proposed Project is not the type of action that is expected to result in the introduction of more motor vehicles or new buildings with heating systems that have the potential to generate greenhouse gas emissions, such as carbon dioxide, the Proposed Project is not expected to result in any potential significant adverse impacts to climate change. *